

Quantitative Analysis of Ethnobotanical data in Chatra district, Jharkhand

Rajnandani Kumari¹, Anil Kumar² and Baidyanath Kumar³

¹ & ²: Department of Botany, Magadh University, Bodh Gaya- 824231

³: Visiting Professor, Department of Biotechnology, Patna Science College, Patna-800005

Corresponding Address: Dr. Baidyanath Kumar

Abstract: Ethnobotany accounts for the study of the relationship between people and plants for their use as medicines, food, shelter, clothing, fodder, fuel and some other household purposes. In Chatra district of Jharkhand more than 75 % of people in the rural area use traditional medicine to help meet their health care needs.

In the present study the descriptive statistical methods of 323 medicinal plants of Chatra in terms of percentage and frequency have been employed to analyze the ethnobotanical data. The different quantitative indices used in ethnobotanical data analysis were use value (UV), frequency of citation (FC), relative frequency of citation (RFC), family importance value (FIV), informant consensus factor (ICF), fidelity level (FL %) and preference ranking.

The results revealed that the use value of sixty medicinal plants was high, greater than 0.300. Their use report (UR), frequency of citation (FC) and relative frequency of citation (RFC) were also high and, therefore, considered to be the most important medicinal plants of this district. Of the 323 medicinal plants 163 plant species had the lowest use value of <0.200. Other medicinal plant species had moderate use value in the range of 0.200 to 0.300. Of these the seventeen (17) medicinal plant species had highest percentage of citation. The informant consensus factor (ICF) values ranged from 0.10 to 0.93 for the 49 disease categories. Thirty two medicinal plants have highest fidelity level of greater than 0.90.

Key words: Ethnobotany, Medicinal plants, Chatra district, Use value, Frequency of citation, Informant consensus factor, Fidelity level Traditional medicine.

Date of Submission: 28-08-2020

Date of Acceptance: 11-09-2020

I. Introduction

Ethnobotany accounts for the study of the relationship between people and plants for their use as medicines, food, shelter, clothing, fodder, fuel and some other household purposes (Sumer *et al.*, 2015) [1]. In Chatra district of Jharkhand more than 75 % of people in the rural area use traditional medicine to help meet their health care needs. Traditional herbal medicines are less costly in comparison to allopathic drugs (Mekonnen *et al.*, 1990; Tesema Tanto, 2003) [2, 3].

From the beginning of human civilization, indigenous people developed their own knowledge on plant use, management and conservation (Cotton, 1996) [4]. This traditional knowledge on medicinal plants passes down from generation to generation. This knowledge is prone to loss if not documented properly (Jansen, 1981) [5]. Moreover, due to ecological shifts and environmental perturbations, plant resources are dwindling at an alarming rate. This suggests the rapid loss of medicinal plants and their associated indigenous knowledge. Documentation of ethnobotanical knowledge on medicinal plants is one of the basic criterions for conservation and community developments. Ethnobotanical studies reveal locally important plant species especially for the discovery of new drugs (Wright, 2005) [6]. Despite the agro-ecological and cultural diversity Jharkhand, the documentation of medicinal plants and associated indigenous knowledge is incomplete. There is no significant record of documentation about ethnobotanical data on medicinal plants in Chatra district, Jharkhand. Therefore, an attempt has been made to document the ethnobotanical data quantitatively.

II. Materials and Methods

In the present study descriptive statistical methods in terms of percentage and frequency have been employed to analyze the ethnobotanical data. The different quantitative indices used in ethnobotanical data analysis were use value (UV), frequency of citation (FC), relative frequency of citation (RFC), family importance value (FIV), informant consensus factor (ICF), fidelity level (FL %) and preference ranking.

Use Value (UV)

The UV is a quantitative index used to determine the relative importance of an indigenous plant species. According to Phillips *et al.*, (1994) [7], the use value was calculated by using the formula:

$$UV = U / n$$

Where, “U” refers to the number of uses mentioned by the participant for a given species and “n” refers to the total number of informants interviewed that used the given species. High UV score indicates that there are many use reports for that plant and vice versa.

Frequency of Citation (FC) and Relative Frequency Citation (RFC)

The Frequency of Citation (FC) is number of informants mentioning the use of plant species. The Relative Frequency Citation (RFC) index was evaluated by using the formula:

$$RFC = FC / N (0 < RFC < 1)$$

N is the total number of informants participating in the study. The RFC index ranges from “0” when nobody referred to a plant as useful to “1” when all informants referred to as useful. (Vitalini *et al.*, 2013) [8].

Informant Consensus Factor (ICF)

Informant Consensus Factor (ICF) was calculated using the formula (Heinrich *et al.*, 1998) [9]:

$$ICF = (Nur - Nt) / Nur - 1$$

Where, “Nur” refers to the total number of use reports mentioned for a particular disease category and “Nt” refers to the total number of plant taxa used for particular disease category. This formula was opted to find out the homogeneity in the ethnomedicinal information documented from the traditional informants. ICF was calculated because it provides and to determine the consistency of the data concerning certain sorts of disease categories (Canales *et al.*, 2005; Heinrich *et al.*, 1998) [10, 9]. The result of this consensus ranged from 0 to 1. A high value (close to 1) predicted that the plants are used by the high percentage of the informants for a number of illness related to that comprehensive category, whereas, the low value (close to 0) showed that the plants are selected arbitrarily for a few or a single complaint or that informants did not share or exchange facts and data about the usage of plants (Abu-Irmaileh and Afifi, 2003; Akerele, 1988; Kloutsos *et al.*, 2001) [11, 12, 13]. Medicinal used to treat very few diseases are supposed to be pharmacologically less active and thereby have low lower ICF values (Gazzaneo *et al.*, 2005; Sharma *et al.*, 2012; Teklehaymanot, 2009) [14, 15, 16].

The fidelity level (FL) was also calculated for the most frequently reported diseases or ailments using the following equation as suggested by Teklehymanoy Tilahun *et al.*, (2007) [17].

$$FL (\%) = NP/N \times 100$$

Where Np is the number of informants that claim the use of a plant species to treat a particular disease and N is the number of informants that use the plants as a medicine to treat any given disease.

Preference ranking: Preference ranking is used to compare the effectiveness of medicinal plants used by the community to treat the particular disease. This ranking was conducted by method suggested by Martin (1995) [18] and Cotton (1996) [4] for twenty most important medicinal plants having high citation frequency used in treating bloating, as traditional healers treat it usually. For this purpose, ten informants were selected to identify the best preferred medicinal plant species for treatment of the illness. Each informant was provided with twenty medicinal plants reported to cure bloating with leaves of medicinal plant used being paper tagged then asked to assign the highest value (20) for the most preferred species against the illness and the lowest value (1) for the least preferred plant and in accordance of their order for the remaining one. The value of each species was summed up and the rank for each species was determined based on the total score. This helps to indicate the rank order of the most effective medicinal plants used by the community to treat the disease.

The results obtained have been presented in Table-14-18.

Table-14: Ethnobotanical data analysed for medicinal plants of Chatra

S. No.	Scientific name	UV	UR	FC	RFC
1	<i>Adhatoda vasica</i> (Linn) Nees	0.335	5	440	0.70
2	<i>Andrographis paniculata</i> (Burm.f.) Nees	0.111	3	150	0.25
3	<i>Achyranthus aspera</i> . Linn.	0.335	10	450	0.75
4	<i>Acorus calamus</i> Linn.	0.131	2	130	0.21
5	<i>Artemisia vulgaris</i> L.	0.551	3	160	0.26

Quantitative Analysis of Ethnobotanical data in Chatra district, Jharkhand

6	<i>Ageratum conyzoides</i> (L.) L.	0.035	2	260	0.43
7	<i>Alangium salvifolium</i> (L.F) Wangerin	0.013	4	375	0.62
8	<i>Abrus precatorius</i> Linn	0.111	2	135	0.22
9	<i>Aloe vera</i> (Linn.) Webb & Benth	0.526	15	565	0.94
10	<i>Asparagus racemosus</i> Willd	0.112	4	235	0.39
11	<i>Abelmoschus moschotus</i> Medik	0.014	3	255	0.42
12	<i>Acacia catechu</i> (L.) Willd, Olive	0.025	4	340	0.56
13	<i>Acacia nolotica</i> (Linn.) Del (Benth) brenan	0.016	2	135	0.22
14	<i>Albizia lebbek</i> (Linn.) Benth	0.026	2	140	0.23
15	<i>Azadirachta indica</i> A. Juss	0.312	12	565	0.94
16	<i>Anthocephalus caddamba</i> (Roxb.) Mia	0.111	3	250	0.41
17	<i>Allanthus excelsa</i> Roxb.	0.026	1	126	0.21
18	<i>Abutilon indicum</i> (L.)	0.251	3	136	0.22
19	<i>Acacia modesta</i> Wall.	0.175	3	350	0.58
20	<i>Aerva javanica</i> (Burm.f.) Juss. ex Schult	0.215	4	360	0.6
21	<i>Ajuga bracteosa</i> Wall. ex Benth	0.435	6	255	0.42
22	<i>Albizia procera</i> (Roxb.) Benth	0.161	8	245	0.40
23	<i>Alhagi maurorum</i> Medik	0.512	3	235	0.39
24	<i>Alternanthera pungens</i> Kunth	0.231	4	165	0.27
25	<i>Amaranthus graecizans</i> L	0.151	5	167	0.28
26	<i>Amaranthus viridis</i> L	0.151	7	167	0.28
27	<i>Anagallis arvensis</i> L	0.251	8	367	0.61
28	<i>Anisomeles indica</i> (L.) Kuntze	0.172	8	350	0.58
29	<i>Argemone mexicana</i> L.	0.271	2	265	0.44
30	<i>Arisaema tortuosum</i> var. <i>curvatum</i> (Roxb.) Engler	0.241	2	255	0.42
31	<i>Arundo donax</i> L	0.425	3	216	0.36
32	<i>Asparagus capitatus</i> Baker	0.165	5	145	0.24
33	<i>Asphodelus tenuifolius</i> Cav.	0.187	6	175	0.29
34	<i>Buchanana latifolia</i> Roxb	0.113	4	185	0.30
35	<i>Blumea balsimifera</i> (L.) DC.	0.241	4	175	0.29
36	<i>Bombax malabaricum</i> DC. B. thorelii	0.031	3	165	0.27
37	<i>Bouhinia retusa</i> L.	0.113	4	135	0.22
38	<i>Bouhinia variegata</i> (L.) Benth	0.113	5	365	0.60
39	<i>Butea monosperma</i> (Lam.) Taub.	0.112	4	275	0.45
40	<i>Bombax ceiba</i> L.	0.034	2	185	0.30
41	<i>Boerhaavia diffusa</i> Linn.	0.026	2	185	0.30
42	<i>Bambusa arundinacea</i> Willd	0.013	3	325	0.54
43	<i>Bacopa monnieri</i> (L.) Wettst	0.254	8	168	0.28
44	<i>Barleria cristata</i> L	0.251	9	165	0.27
45	<i>Boerhavia procumbens</i> Banks ex. Roxb.	0.315	8	465	0.77
46	<i>Broussonetia papyrifera</i> (L.) Vent.	0.511	7	450	0.75
47	<i>Calotropis procera</i> (Ait) R. Br	0.314	10	545	0.90
48	<i>Catharanthus roseus</i> (Linn.) Don	0.315	12	565	0.94
49	<i>Centella asiatica</i> (Linn.) Urban	0.321	15	575	0.95
50	<i>Cuminum cyminum</i> L.	0.112	11	435	0.72
51	<i>Chlorophytum tuberosum</i> (Roxb.) Baker	0.111	9	455	0.75
52	<i>Cyanthillium cinereum</i> (L.) H.Rob.	0.321	7	425	0.70
53	<i>Chromolaena odorata</i> (L.) R.M.King & H.Rob.	0.251	7	425	0.70
54	<i>Commiphora mukul/C. wightii</i> (Arn.) Bhandari	0.021	6	367	0.61
55	<i>Carica papaya</i> L.	0.312	13	575	0.95
56	<i>Citrus colocynthis</i> (L.) Schrad	0.035	12	565	0.94
57	<i>Cassia angustifolia</i> Vahl	0.021	7	375	0.62
58	<i>Cassia fistula</i> Linn.	0.023	5	265	0.44
59	<i>Cassia occidentalis</i> Linn	0.121	5	265	0.44
60	<i>Cassia tora</i> Linn.	0.012	3	167	0.27
61	<i>Colchicum luteum</i> Linn.	0.314	6	268	0.45
62	<i>Cyperus scariosus</i> R. Br.	0.012	3	265	0.44
63	<i>Cheilocostus speciosus</i> (J. Koningh) C. specth	0.016	3	265	0.44
64	<i>Celastrus paniculatus</i> Willd.	0.113	3	265	0.44
65	<i>Curculigo orchioides</i> Gaerth	0.112	4	315	0.52
66	<i>Clerodendrum serratum</i> (Linn.) Moon	0.026	5	325	0.54
67	<i>Coleus barbetus</i> (Andrews) Benth	0.521	16	575	0.95
68	<i>Cubela officinalis</i> L. f.	0.031	7	455	0.75
69	<i>Cissus quadrangularis</i> Linn.	0.216	4	315	0.52
70	<i>Curcuma angustifolia</i>	0.320	12	575	0.95
71	<i>Curcuma longa</i> Linn	0.324	10	525	0.87
72	<i>Curcuma caesis</i> Roxb.	0.056	10	525	0.87
73	<i>Calligonum comosum</i> L'Hér	0.171	7	426	0.71

Quantitative Analysis of Ethnobotanical data in Chatra district, Jharkhand

74	<i>Cannabis sativa</i> L	0.162	6	375	0.62
75	<i>Capparis spinosa</i> L.	0.251	5	268	0.44
76	<i>Capparis decidua</i> (Forssk.) Edgew.	0.111	5	268	0.44
77	<i>Capsella bursa-pastoris</i> (L.) Medik.	0.162	3	268	0.44
78	<i>Caralluma tuberculata</i> N.E.Br.	0.151	3	128	0.21
79	<i>Cardamine hirsuta</i> L.	0.321	3	128	0.21
80	<i>Cardaria draba</i> (L.) Desv	0.321	2	125	0.20
81	<i>Carthamus oxyacantha</i> M. Bieb	0.121	4	175	0.29
82	<i>Cenchrus echinatus</i> L	0.011	4	175	0.29
83	<i>Centaurium pulchellum</i> (Sw.) Druce	0.182	5	265	0.44
84	<i>Ceratonia siliqua</i> L.	0.075	5	265	0.44
85	<i>Chenopodium murale</i> L.	0.121	5	265	0.44
86	<i>Chenopodium album</i> L.	0.121	5	265	0.44
87	<i>Chloris gayana</i> Kunth	0.012	4	185	0.30
88	<i>Chrozophora tinctoria</i> (L.) A. Juss.	0.231	7	351	0.58
89	<i>Cichorium intybus</i> L.	0.245	6	385	0.64
90	<i>Cirsium vulgare</i> (Savi) Ten.	0.271	6	385	0.64
91	<i>Cistanche tubulosa</i> (Schenk) Wight	0.212	3	165	0.21
92	<i>Clematis grata</i> Wall.	0.313	5	245	0.40
93	<i>Clerodendrum phlomidis</i> L.f.	0.512	5	245	0.40
94	<i>Cocculus hirsutus</i> (L.) Diels	0.425	4	245	0.40
95	<i>Cocculus pendulus</i> (J.R.Forst. & G.Forst.) Diels	0.357	4	245	0.40
96	<i>Coix lacryma-jobi</i> L.	0.111	3	175	0.29
97	<i>Convolvulus arvensis</i> L.	0.121	6	415	0.69
98	<i>Convolvulus prostratus</i> Forssk.	0.121	6	415	0.69
99	<i>Conyza canadensis</i> (L.) Cronquist.	0.065	2	125	0.20
100	<i>Croton bonplandianum</i> Baill.	0.210	3	167	0.27
101	<i>Cucumis melo</i> var. <i>agrestis</i> Naudin	0.212	5	268	0.44
102	<i>Cuscuta reflexa</i> Roxb.	0.511	3	175	0.29
103	<i>Cynodon dactylon</i> (L.) Pers	0.154	2	166	0.28
104	<i>Cymbopogon commutatus</i> (Steud.) Stapf	0.175	2	166	0.28
105	<i>Dolichos biflorus</i> Linn.	0.111	4	255	0.42
106	<i>Dalbergia sissoo</i> Roxb.	0.012	2	165	0.27
107	<i>Datura metel</i> Linn	0.115	6	315	0.52
108	<i>Dactyloctenium aegyptium</i> (L.) Willd	0.012	7	367	0.61
109	<i>Desmostachya bipinnata</i> (L) Stapf	0.011	5	315	0.52
110	<i>Dichanthium annulatum</i> (Forssk.) Stapf	0.011	3	268	0.44
111	<i>Dicliptera bupleuroides</i> Nees	0.312	4	285	0.47
112	<i>Digera muricata</i> (L.)Mart.	0.211	2	185	0.30
113	<i>Dodonaea viscosa</i> (L.) Jacq.	0.215	2	187	0.31
114	<i>Eclipta alba</i> (L.) Hassk	0.295	15	519	0.86
115	<i>Euphorbia tithymaloides</i> L.	0.034	5	266	0.44
116	<i>Euphorbia hirta</i> Linn.	0.124	16	575	0.95
117	<i>Euphorbia thymifolia</i> Linn.	0.121	6	135	0.22
118	<i>Emblica officinalis</i> Gaertn.	0.253	16	585	0.97
119	<i>Embelia ribes</i> Burm. F.	0.024	4	235	0.39
120	<i>Echinops echinatus</i> Roxb	0.211	4	265	0.44
121	<i>Eclipta prostrata</i> (L.)L.	0.342	8	435	0.72
122	<i>Ehretia obtusifolia</i> Hochst. ex A. DC.	0.211	2	367	0.61
123	<i>Eichhornia crassipes</i> (Mart.) Solms	0.211	1	121	0.20
124	<i>Epilobium hirsutum</i> L	0.334	3	126	0.21
125	<i>Eruca sativa</i> Mill	0.167	4	215	0.35
126	<i>Eucalyptus camaldulensis</i> Dehnh.	0.214	8	368	0.61
127	<i>Euphorbia helioscopia</i> L	0.334	7	268	0.45
128	<i>Euphorbia helioscopia</i>	0.335	7	268	0.45
129	<i>Euphorbia heterophylla</i>	0.654	7	268	0.45
130	<i>Euphorbia peplus</i> L	0.253	7	268	0.45
131	<i>Euphorbia serpens</i>	0.334	6	268	0.45
132	<i>Evolvulus alsinoides</i> (L.) L	0.212	5	175	0.29
133	<i>Ficus benghalensis</i> L.	0.025	8	415	0.69
134	<i>Ficus glomerata</i> Roxb	0.025	8	415	0.69
135	<i>Ficus racemosa</i> L	0.275	8	425	0.70
136	<i>Ficus religiosa</i>	0.245	8	465	0.77
137	<i>Ficus virgata</i> Reinw. ex Blume	0.215	6	412	0.68
138	<i>Fagonia indica</i> Burm.f	0.325	3	215	0.36
139	<i>Filago hurdwarica</i> (Wall. ex DC.) Wagenitz	0.245	3	212	0.35
140	<i>Fumaria indica</i> (Hausskn.) Pugsley	0.452	2	185	0.30
141	<i>Forsskaolea tenacissima</i> L.	0.175	2	165	0.27
142	<i>Galium aparine</i> L.	0.265	3	125	0.20
143	<i>Gastrocotyle hispida</i> (Forssk.) Bunge	0.375	3	125	0.20

Quantitative Analysis of Ethnobotanical data in Chatra district, Jharkhand

144	<i>Geranium mascatense</i> Boiss	0.251	3	135	0.22
145	<i>Glandularia pulchella</i> (Sweet) Tronc. (Syn. <i>Verbena tenisecta</i> Briq.)	0.451	3	145	0.24
146	<i>Grewia optiva</i> J.R.Drumm. ex Burret	0.152	3	155	0.25
147	<i>Grewia villosa</i> Willd	0.155	3	165	0.27
148	<i>Grewia tenax</i> (Forssk.) Fiori	0.151	3	165	0.27
149	<i>Gymnosporia spinosa</i> (Blanco) Merr. & Rolfe	0.334	4	175	0.29
150	<i>Gynandropsis gynandra</i> (L.) Briq./	0.334	4	215	0.35
151	<i>Homalanthus populneus</i> (Geiseler) Pax	0.035	4	216	0.36
152	<i>Hoppea dichotoma</i> B. Hayne ex Willd	0.012	3	185	0.30
153	<i>Hydrolea zeylanica</i> (Linn.) Vahl	0.013	2	165	0.27
154	<i>Helicteres isara</i> L.	0.021	2	168	0.28
155	<i>Hibiscus rosa-sinensis</i> Linn.	0.012	6	426	0.71
156	<i>Hyoscyamus niger</i> L.	0.124	6	425	0.70
157	<i>Heliotropium currasavicum</i> L.	0.314	3	268	0.44
158	<i>Heliotropium europaeum</i> L	0.334	3	268	0.44
159	<i>Heliotropium indicum</i> L.	0.315	3	268	0.44
160	<i>Hibiscus mutabilis</i> L	0.255	6	465	0.77
161	<i>Ipomoea carnea</i> Jacq	0.178	5	368	0.61
162	<i>Juncus elegans</i> Royle ex Sam.	0.025	3	275	0.45
163	<i>Justicia adhatoda</i> L.	0.255	3	145	0.24
164	<i>Jatropha curcas</i> L.	0.257	4	165	0.27
165	<i>Kickxia ranoissima</i> (Wall.) Janch	0.371	2	125	0.20
166	<i>Lepidium sativum</i> L.	0.035	2	115	0.19
167	<i>Lawsonia inermis</i> Linn	0.013	2	155	0.25
168	<i>Linum usitatissimum</i> Linn.	0.012	3	262	0.43
169	<i>Lactuca serriola</i> L.	0.054	5	265	0.44
170	<i>Lantana camara</i> L.	0.265	5	268	0.45
171	<i>Lathyrus aphaca</i> L.	0.235	4	215	0.35
172	<i>Launaea capitata</i> (Spreng.) Dandy	0.025	3	215	0.35
173	<i>Leptadenia pyrotechnica</i> (Forssk.) Decne	0.215	4	265	0.44
174	<i>Leucaena leucocephala</i> (Lam.) de Wit.	0.235	2	185	0.30
175	<i>Lindenbergia abyssinica</i> Hochst. ex Benth	0.265	5	235	0.39
176	<i>Lindenbergia indica</i> Vatke	0.265	5	240	0.40
177	<i>Linum strictum</i> L	0.251	4	245	0.41
178	<i>Mangifera indica</i> L.	0.114	11	458	0.76
179	<i>Mesua ferrea</i> Linn.	0.527	6	325	0.54
180	<i>Mallotus philippensis</i> (Lam) Muell. Arg	0.114	4	326	0.54
181	<i>Macuna pruriens</i> (L.) DC	0.021	5	167	0.27
182	<i>Mentha viridis</i> Linn.	0.421	16	548	0.91
183	<i>Michelia champaca</i> Linn.	0.021	12	525	0.87
184	<i>Moringa oleifera</i> Lamk	0.031	15	528	0.88
185	<i>Musa paradisiaca</i> Linn.	0.024	16	550	0.92
186	<i>Mimosa pudica</i> L.	0.013	4	265	0.44
187	<i>Madhuca indica</i> Gmelin	0.212	6	367	0.61
188	<i>Mimusops elengi</i> Linn.	0.211	2	168	0.28
189	<i>Maerua arenaria</i> Hook. f. & Thomson	0.212	2	168	0.28
190	<i>Malvastrum coromandelianum</i> (L.) Garcke	0.165	2	168	0.28
191	<i>Medicago sativa</i> L.	0.335	10	478	0.79
192	<i>Melilotus indicus</i> (L.) All.	0.125	10	485	0.80
193	<i>Mentha longifolia</i> (L.) L	0.075	12	512	0.85
194	<i>Merremia dissecta</i> (Jacq.) Hallier f.	0.645	6	365	0.60
195	<i>Millettia pinnata</i> (L.) Panigrahi	0.165	4	268	0.44
196	<i>Minuartia hybrida</i> (Vill.) Schischk	0.025	4	268	0.44
197	<i>Misopates orontium</i> (L.) Raf.	0.012	4	270	0.45
198	<i>Momordica balsamina</i> L.	0.254	8	425	0.70
199	<i>Monothecha buxifolia</i> (Falc.) A. DC.	0.256	6	411	0.68
200	<i>Morus alba</i> L	0.125	14	565	0.94
201	<i>Morus laevigata</i> Wall. ex Brandis	0.125	14	565	0.94
202	<i>Morus nigra</i> L	0.125	13	512	0.85
203	<i>Nerium indicum</i> Mill.	0.113	8	425	0.70
204	<i>Nymphaea pubescens</i> Willd	0.215	7	415	0.69
205	<i>Nelumbo nucifera</i> Gaerth	0.251	7	415	0.69
206	<i>Nyctanthus arbor-tristis</i> Linn.	0.035	6	368	0.61
207	<i>Nerium oleander</i> L.	0.116	7	416	0.69
208	<i>Nannorrhops ritchieana</i> (Griff.) Aitch	0.211	5	315	0.52
209	<i>Operculina turpethum</i> Linn. Silva Manso	0.115	6	315	0.52
210	<i>Ocimum sanctum</i> Linn.	0.325	12	485	0.80
211	<i>Ocimum americanum</i> L.	0.256	12	485	0.80
212	<i>Ocimum basilicum</i> L.	0.325	12	485	0.80
213	<i>Olea ferruginea</i> Wall. ex Aitch.	0.245	3	262	0.44

Quantitative Analysis of Ethnobotanical data in Chatra district, Jharkhand

214	<i>Opuntia dillenii</i> (Ker Gawl.) Haw	0.165	3	260	0.43
215	<i>Otostegia limbata</i> (Benth.) Boiss	0.351	3	260	0.43
216	<i>Oxalis corniculata</i> L	0.235	5	375	0.62
217	<i>Oxalis corymbosa</i> DC.	0.235	5	375	0.62
218	<i>Pongamia glabra</i> Vent.	0.031	4	385	0.64
219	<i>Pterocarpus marsupium</i> Roxb.	0.041	6	375	0.62
220	<i>Pithecellobium dulce</i> (Roxb.) Benth.	0.221	5	375	0.62
221	<i>Pterocarpus indicus</i> Willd.	0.031	6	375	0.62
222	<i>Psoralea carylifolia</i> Linn.	0.112	8	415	0.69
223	<i>Piper betel</i> Linn.	0.562	9	385	0.64
224	<i>Phyllanthus niruri</i> Linn. Schum. & Thonn	0.025	9	425	0.70
225	<i>Phyllanthus fraternus</i> Linn. G.L.Webster	0.021	8	425	0.70
226	<i>Plumbago zeylanica</i> Linn.	0.231	7	368	0.61
227	<i>Punica granatum</i> Linn.	0.012	4	215	0.35
228	<i>Putranjiva roxberghii</i> Wall.	0.112	2	168	0.28
229	<i>Papaver dubium</i> L.	0.265	5	365	0.60
230	<i>Parthenium hysterophorus</i> L.	0.175	5	375	0.62
231	<i>Peganum harmala</i> L	0.135	5	385	0.64
232	<i>Pentatropis spiralis</i> (Forssk.) Decne.	0.254	9	415	0.69
233	<i>Periploca aphylla</i> Decne.	0.211	7	375	0.62
234	<i>Persicaria glabra</i> (Willd.) M. Gomez	0.311	7	375	0.62
235	<i>Persicaria lapathifolia</i> (L.) Delabre (Syn. <i>Polygonum lapathifolium</i> L.	0.278	7	375	0.62
236	<i>Phoenix sylvestris</i> (L.) Roxb.	0.212	5	168	0.28
237	<i>Phragmites australis</i> (Cav.) Trin. ex Steud.	0.035	4	185	0.30
238	<i>Phyla nodiflora</i> (L.) Greene	0.312	3	268	0.44
239	<i>Physalis minima</i> L	0.251	5	270	0.45
240	<i>Physorrhynchus chamaerapistrum</i> (Boiss.) Boiss.	0.165	6	245	0.40
241	<i>Pistia stratiotes</i> L.	0.031	1	112	0.18
242	<i>Plantago boissieri</i> Hausskn. & Bornm.L.	0.345	5	245	0.40
243	<i>Pluchea arabica</i> (Boiss.) Qaiser & Lack	0.451	3	375	0.62
244	<i>Polygonum persicaria</i> L.	0.355	5	385	0.64
245	<i>Polygonum plebeium</i> R. Br.	0.355	6	425	0.70
246	<i>Portulaca oleracea</i> L.	0.135	5	365	0.60
247	<i>Prosopis glandulosa</i> Torr.	0.252	5	365	0.60
248	<i>Prosopis cineraria</i> (L.) Druce	0.252	4	275	0.45
249	<i>Prosopis juliflora</i> (Sw.) DC.	0.252	4	275	0.45
250	<i>Pseudogallonia hymenostephana</i> (Jaub. & Spach) Linchevskii	0.253	2	255	0.42
251	<i>Pulicaria glutinosa</i> (Boiss.) Jaub. & Spach	0.351	6	245	0.40
252	<i>Punica granatum</i> L.	0.241	6	465	0.77
253	<i>Pupalia lappacea</i> (L.) Juss.	0.051	2	115	0.19
254	<i>Psidium guajava</i> Linn	0.511	5	348	0.58
255	<i>Rauwolfia serpentina</i> (L.) Benth. Ex Kutz	0.115	12	545	0.90
256	<i>Ranunculus hispidus</i> Michx.	0.275	5	255	0.42
257	<i>Ranunculus muricatus</i> L.	0.075	5	255	0.42
258	<i>Rhazya stricta</i> Decne.	0.125	3	215	0.35
259	<i>Ricinus communis</i> L.	0.115	6	275	0.45
260	<i>Ruellia nudiflora</i> (Engelm. & A. Grey) Urb.	0.112	5	265	0.44
261	<i>Ruellia tuberosa</i> L.	0.112	5	265	0.44
262	<i>Rumex dentatus</i> L.	0.056	5	275	0.45
263	<i>Rumex vesicarius</i> L	0.055	3	265	0.44
264	<i>Semecarpus anacardium</i> L. f.	0.115	7	395	0.66
265	<i>Saussurea lappa</i> Decne.	0.115	7	390	0.65
266	<i>Sphaeranthus indicus</i> L.	0.115	8	415	0.69
267	<i>Saraca indica</i> (Linn.) Beddome	0.456	8	425	0.70
268	<i>Sesbania grandiflora</i> Pers.	0.562	8	450	0.75
269	<i>Senna alata</i> (L.) Roxb.	0.036	8	465	0.77
270	<i>Syzygium cumini</i> (Linn.) Skeels	0.213	7	455	0.75
271	<i>Sesamum indicum</i> Linn.	0.213	6	415	0.69
272	<i>Saccharum officinarum</i> Linn.	0.341	6	412	0.68
273	<i>Santalum album</i> Linn.	0.215	6	465	0.77
274	<i>Sapindus mukoro ssi</i> Guerth	0.031	7	475	0.79
275	<i>Schleichera oleasa</i> (Lour.) Oken	0.020	5	365	0.60
276	<i>Solanum surattiens</i> L. Burm.f	0.116	5	375	0.62
277	<i>Solanum nigrum</i> Linn	0.114	5	375	0.62
278	<i>Saccharum bengalense</i> Retz	0.112	4	268	0.44
279	<i>Saccharum revennae</i> (L.) L.	0.112	4	268	0.44

280	<i>Saccharum spontaneum</i> L.	0.112	4	272	0.45
281	<i>Salvadora persica</i> L.	0.075	3	168	0.28
282	<i>Salvia moorcroftiana</i> Wall. ex Benth.	0.165	4	275	0.46
283	<i>Schweinfurthia papilionacea</i> (L.) Boiss.	0.015	1	110	0.18
284	<i>Senecio</i> sp.	0.035	3	263	0.43
285	<i>Sisymbrium irio</i> L.		3	265	0.44
286	<i>Solanum elaeagnifolium</i> Cav.	0.145	4	275	0.46
287	<i>Solanum incanum</i> L.	0.145	4	275	0.46
288	<i>Solanum xanthocarpum</i> Schrad. & H. Wendl.	0.185	5	275	0.46
289	<i>Sonchus asper</i> (L.) Hill	0.211	6	285	0.47
290	<i>Stellaria media</i> (L.) Vill.	0.211	4	265	0.44
291	<i>Tabernaemontana coronaria</i> R. Br.	0.015	2	155	0.25
292	<i>Tagetes erecta</i> Linn	0.076	2	165	0.27
293	<i>Terminalia arjuna</i> (Roxb. ex DC) Wt and Arn	0.431	13	568	0.94
294	<i>Terminalia bellirica</i> (Gaerth.) Roxb.	0.452	13	570	0.95
295	<i>Terminalia chebula</i> Retz.	0.456	14	517	0.86
296	<i>Tinospora cordifolia</i> (Willd) Miers ex Hook. F. & Thoms	0.335	15	525	0.87
297	<i>Tephrosia purpurea</i> (L.) Pers	0.051	12	468	0.78
298	<i>Tribulus terrestris</i> Linn.	0.312	9	425	0.71
299	<i>Tamarix aphylla</i> (L.) H. Karst.	0.115	6	365	0.61
300	<i>Tamarix dioica</i> Roxb. Ex Roth.	0.115	6	365	0.61
301	<i>Taraxacum officinale</i> (L.) Weber F. H. Wigg.	0.115	6	365	0.61
302	<i>Taverniera glabra</i> Boiss.	0.356	8	465	0.77
303	<i>Taverniera sparteae</i> (Burm.f.) DC.	0.354	8	465	0.77
304	<i>Tecomella undulata</i> (sm.) Seem.	0.075	2	125	0.21
305	<i>Tephrosia apollinea</i> (Delile) DC.	0.215	6	268	0.45
306	<i>Trianthema portulacastrum</i> L.	0.145	2	135	0.22
307	<i>Trichodesma indicum</i> (L.) Lehm.	0.145	2	140	0.23
308	<i>Typha angustata</i> Bory & Chaub.	0.075	2	116	0.19
309	<i>Typha latifolia</i> L.	0.075	2	116	0.19
310	<i>Urtica dioica</i> L.	0.065	1	113	0.18
311	<i>Verbascum thapsus</i> L.	0.265	6	267	0.44
312	<i>Verbena officinalis</i> L.	0.375	7	295	0.49
313	<i>Vernonia arabica</i> Boiss.	0.275	7	285	0.47
314	<i>Vicia sativa</i> L.	0.256	7	285	0.47
315	<i>Viola cinerea</i> Boiss.	0.121	5	135	0.22
316	<i>Withania coagulans</i> (Stocks) Dunal.	0.145	6	138	0.23
317	<i>Withania somnifera</i> (L.) Dunal	0.421	12	515	0.85
318	<i>Xanthium strumarium</i> L.	0.315	6	325	0.54
319	<i>Ziziphus mauritiana</i> Lam.	0.115	3	268	0.44
320	<i>Ziziphus nummularia</i> (Burm.f.) Whigt. & Arn.	0.115	3	270	0.45
321	<i>Zizyphus jujuba</i> Lam	0.113	6	272	0.45
322	<i>Glycyrrhiza glabra</i> Linn	0.116	6	275	0.46
323	<i>Gloriosa superba</i> Linn	0.121	7	285	0.47

UR: Use report; UV: Use value; FC: Frequency of citation; RFC: Relative frequency of citation

Table-15: Medicinal plants of Chatra cited by most of the informants

Medicinal plant species	Family	Diseases treated	Number of informants	Percentage (%)
<i>Adhatoda vasica</i> (Linn) Nees	Acanthaceae	Cough, Fever, Tuberculosis, Malaria,	440	73.33
<i>Achyranthus aspera</i> . Linn.	Amaranthaceae	Dropsy, hydrophobia, ophthalmia	450	75.00
<i>Aloe vera</i> (Linn.) Webb & Benth	Liliaceae	Antioxidant and antibacterial	565	94.16
<i>Azadirachta indica</i> A. Juss	Meliaceae	Liver diseases, antimicrobial	565	94.16
<i>Boerhavia procumbens</i> Banks ex. Roxb.	Nyctaginaceae	Febrifuge, snake bite, piles	465	77.50
<i>Broussonetia papyrifera</i> (L.) Vent.	Moraceae	Dysentery	450	75.00
<i>Calotropis procera</i> (Ait) R. Br	Asclepiadaceae	Emetic, leprosy, elephantiasis, snakebites, asthma	545	90.83
<i>Catharanthus roseus</i> (Linn.) Don	Apocyanaceae	Muscle pain, central nervous system, wounds, diabetes, cancer.	565	94.16
<i>Centella asiatica</i> (Linn.) Urban	Apiaceae	Spinal injury, neuromuscular disorder, skin treatment.	575	95.83
<i>Cuminum cyminum</i> L.	Apiaceae	Respiratory, allergic rhinitis, dyspepsia, diabetes mellitus, inflammatory diseases	435	72.50
<i>Chlorophytum tuberosum</i> (Roxb.) Baker	Asteraceae	Tonic and aphrodisiac	455	75.83

Quantitative Analysis of Ethnobotanical data in Chatra district, Jharkhand

<i>Cyanthillium cinereum</i> (L.) H.Rob.	Asteraceae	Measles	425	70.83
<i>Chromolaena odorata</i> (L.)	Asteraceae	Wounds, Stomach ache, diarrhea, fever, vomiting	425	70.83
<i>Carica papaya</i> L.	Caricaceae	Warts, sinuses, eczema, tumors, dyspepsia, constipation, amenorrhoea	575	95.83
<i>Citrus colocythis</i> (L.) Schrad	Cucurbitaceae	cathartic, ecibolic, emmenagogue, vermifugal	565	94.16
<i>Coleus barbatus</i> (Andrews) Benth	Lamiaceae	allergies, dry eye eczema, obesity, painful menstrual periods, irritable bowel syndrome (IBS), urinary tract infections (UTI).	575	95.83
<i>Cubela officinalis</i> L. f.	Piperaceae	Seizure, ulcers, gout, RA, dizziness, paralysis, diarrhea,	455	75.83
<i>Curcuma angustifolia</i>	Zingiberaceae	Soothe coughs and bronchitis	575	95.83
<i>Curcuma longa</i> Linn	Zingiberaceae	anticancer, antimicrobial, antiinflammatory	525	87.50
<i>Curcuma caesia</i> Roxb.	Zingiberaceae	leprosy, cancer, wounds,	525	87.50
<i>Calligonum comosum</i> L'Hér	Polygonaceae	Digestive, body pain, headache	426	71.00
<i>Convolvulus arvensis</i> L.	Convolvulaceae	Wound healing, stomach problems	415	69.16
<i>Convolvulus prostratus</i> Forssk.	Convolvulaceae	Wound healing, stomach problems	415	69.16
<i>Euphorbia hirta</i> Linn.	Euphorbiaceae	Cancer, diarrhea, asthma, bronchitis, fever,	575	95.83
<i>Emblica officinalis</i> Gaertn.	Euphorbiaceae	Antioxidant, immune modulatory, antipyretic, analgesic	585	97.50
<i>Eclipta prostrata</i> (L.)L.	Asteraceae	Jaundice, constipation	435	72.50
<i>Ficus glomerata</i> Roxb	Moraceae	Diabetes, liver disorders, diarrhea	415	69.16
<i>Ficus racemosa</i> L	Moraceae	Gynecological disorders, diabetes, cough, fever	425	70.83
<i>Ficus religiosa</i>	Moraceae	Sexual diseases, obesity, diabetes	465	77.50
<i>Ficus virgata</i> Reinw. ex Blume	Moraceae	Stomach ache, menstrual problems	412	68.66
<i>Hibiscus rosa-sinensis</i> Linn.	Malvaceae	painful menstruation, bronchial catarrh, coughs	426	71.00
<i>Hyoscyamus niger</i> L	Solanaceae	Rheumatism, toothache, asthma, cough, nervous diseases	425	70.83
<i>Mentha viridis</i> Linn.	Lamiaceae	Fevers, headaches, antispasmodic, carminative, diuretic	548	91.33
<i>Michelia champaca</i> Linn.	Magnoliaceae	Diabetes, quick wound healing, cardiac disorders	525	87.50
<i>Moringa oleifera</i> Lamk	Moringaceae	Diabetes. Cancer, fever, antihypertensive,	528	88.00
<i>Musa paradisiaca</i> Linn.	Musaceae	Ulcers, dysentery,	550	91.66
<i>Medicago sativa</i> L.	Fabaceae	Hair tonic	478	79.66
<i>Melilotus indicus</i> (L.) All.	Fabaceae	Wasp bite, inflammation	485	80.83
<i>Mentha longifolia</i> (L.) L	Lamiaceae	Gastrointestinal disorders, obesity, aphrodisiac,	512	85.33
<i>Momordica balsamina</i> L.	Cucurbitaceae	Diabetes, skin problems, blood purifier, throat infection, liver	425	70.83
<i>Monothea buxifolia</i> (Falc.) A. DC.	Sapotaceae	Constipation, laxative, liver problem	411	68.50
<i>Morus alba</i> L	Moraceae	Laxative, expectorant, jaundice, respiratory	565	94.16
<i>Morus nigra</i> L	Moraceae	Laxative, expectorant, jaundice, respiratory	512	85.33
<i>Nerium indicum</i> Mill.	Apocyanaceae	Cardiac illnesses, asthma, corns, cancer, and epilepsy	425	70.83
<i>Nymphaea pubescens</i> Willd	Nymphaeaceae	Dyspepsia, diarrhoea, piles, nephritis, insomnia, jaundice,	415	69.16
<i>Nelumbo nucifera</i> Gaerth	Nymphaeaceae	Depression, diarrhea, heart problems, hypertension and insomnia	415	69.16
<i>Nerium oleander</i> L.	Apocyanaceae	Snake, scorpion and wasp bite	416	69.33
<i>Ocimum sanctum</i> Linn.	Lamiaceae	Bronchitis, malaria, diarrhea, dysentery.	485	80.83
<i>Ocimum americanum</i> L.	Lamiaceae	Cough, malaria, depression, constipation	485	80.83
<i>Ocimum basilicum</i> L.	Lamiaceae	Obesity, fever, hypertension, malaria, anxiety	485	80.83
<i>Phyllanthus niruri</i> Linn. Schum. & Thonn	Phyllanthaceae	Kidney stones, gallbladder stones, liver cancer and jaundice	425	70.83
<i>Phyllanthus fraternus</i> Linn. G.L.Webster	Phyllanthaceae	Thirst, bronchitis, asthma, leprosy, anaemia, venereal diseases,	425	70.83
<i>Pentatropis spiralis</i> (Forssk.)	Asclepiadaceae	Blood purifier, antidote	415	69.16

Decne.				
<i>Polygonum plebeium</i> R. Br.	Polygonaceae	Diabetes, Digestive, body pain, headache	425	70.83
<i>Punica granatum</i> L.	Lythraceae	Malaria, pimples, anemia, cooling effect, fatigue, weakness	465	77.50
<i>Rauvolfia serpentina</i> (L.) Benth. Ex Kutz	Apovyanaceae	High blood pressure, schizophrenia,	545	90.83
<i>Sphaeranthus indicus</i> L.	Asteraceae	Jaundice, diabetes, leprosy, fever, cough, gastropathy, hernia,	415	69.16
<i>Saraca indica</i> (Linn.) Beddome	Caesalpiniaceae	Uterine tonic, menstrual irregularities	425	70.83
<i>Sesbania grandiflora</i> Pers.	Fabaceae	Diuretic, emetic, emmenagogue, febrifuge, laxative	450	75.00
<i>Senna alata</i> (L.) Roxb.	Fabaceae	Ringworm and other fungal infections	465	77.50
<i>Syzygium cumini</i> (Linn.) Skeels	Myrtaceae	Diabetes, ulcer, dysentery	455	75.83
<i>Sesamum indicum</i> Linn.	Pedaliaceae	Laxative, emollient and demulcent, antibacterial	415	69.16
<i>Saccharum officinarum</i> Linn.	Poaceae	Aphrodisiac, laxative, antiseptic, Joundice	412	68.66
<i>Santalum album</i> Linn	Santalaceae	Common colds, bronchitis, skin disorders, heart ailments, fever, infection of the urinary tract	465	77.50
<i>Sapindus mukoro ssi</i> Guerth	Sapindaceae	Gout and rheumatism	475	79.16
<i>Terminalia arjuna</i> (Roxb. ex DC) Wt and Arn	Combretaceae	kapha, pitta, and vata.	568	94.66
<i>Terminalia bellirica</i> (Gaerth.) Roxb.	Combretaceae	Respiratory tract infections, cough, and sore throat	570	95.00
<i>Terminalia chebula</i> Retz.	Combretaceae	High cholesterol and digestive disorders	517	86.16
<i>Tinospora cordifolia</i> (Willd) Miers ex Hook. F.	Combretaceae	Diabetes, high cholesterol, allergic rhinitis, RA	525	87.50
<i>Tephrosia purpurea</i> (L.) Pers	Fabaceae	Leprosy, ulcers, asthma, and tumors	468	78.00
<i>Tribulus terrestris</i> Linn.	Zygophyllaceae	Increases testosterone levels	425	70.83
<i>Taverniera glabra</i> Boiss.	Fabaceae	Body pain, obesity, poor appetite	465	77.50
<i>Taverniera spartea</i> (Burm.f.) DC.	Fabaceae	Cancer, blood purification, pimples	465	77.50

Table-16: Informant Consensus Factor (ICF) value of medicinal plants used for treatment of various diseases

Disease categories	Nt (Number of species used for the ailment)	Nur (Number of use citation for each ailment)	ICF (Informant Consensus factor)
Respiratory and pulmonary diseases	95	135	0.29
Gastrointestinal diseases	93	145	0.36
Genitourinary diseases	62	75	0.17
Sexual disorders	76	85	0.10
Skin inflammation	70	97	0.28
Musculoskeletal disorders	76	85	0.10
Glandular disorders	38	55	0.31
Antidote	21	25	0.16
Cardiovascular diseases	65	105	0.38
Neurological disorders	33	86	0.62
ENT problems	25	31	0.20
Eye ailments	7	16	0.60
Fever and headache	78	85	0.08
Malaria	55	87	0.37
Oral and dental problems	29	33	0.12
Hair problems, dandruff	7	15	0.57
Epilepsy	65	77	0.15
Common cold and cough	97	123	0.21
Tonsillitis	17	105	0.84
Swelling wound	19	109	0.83
Hemorrhoid	18	105	0.84
Rabies	16	87	0.82
Snake bite	21	85	0.76
Spider poison	15	63	0.77
Diabetes	65	175	0.63
Blood pressure	12	65	0.82
Jaundice	14	67	0.80
Toothache	8	31	0.76
Menorrhea	7	76	0.92
Leucorrhea	7	85	0.92
Tuberculosis	9	65	0.87

Dropsy	6	35	0.85
Hydrophobia	5	27	0.84
Antioxidant and antibacterial	87	131	0.33
Leprosy	7	21	0.70
Elephantiasis	8	19	0.61
Measles	6	45	0.88
Amenorrhoea	14	56	0.76
Painful menstrual problems	16	83	0.81
Rheumatoid arthritis (RA)	15	43	0.66
Cancer	21	81	0.75
Constipation	65	107	0.39
Bronchitis	35	95	0.63
Obesity	27	75	0.64
Anxiety	13	35	0.64
Kidney stone	9	33	0.75
Schizophrenia	13	28	0.55
Ringworm and other fungal infection	14	58	0.77
Hypercholesterolemia	53	97	0.45

Table-17: Fidelity index of some of the medicinal plants of Chatra cited by most of the informants

Medicinal plant species	Diseases treated	NP	N	FL	FL (%)
<i>Adhatoda vasica</i> (Linn) Nees	Cough, Fever, Tuberculosis, Malaria,	452	457	0.98	98
<i>Achyranthus aspera</i> . Linn.	Dropsy, hydrophobia, ophthalmia	385	395	0.97	97
<i>Aloe vera</i> (Linn.) Webb & Benth	Antioxidant and antibacterial	325	385	0.84	84
<i>Azadirachta indica</i> A. Juss	Liver diseases, antimicrobial	355	425	0.83	83
<i>Boerhavia procumbens</i> Banks ex. Roxb.	Febrifuge, snake bite, piles	365	372	0.98	98
<i>Broussonetia papyrifera</i> (L.) Vent.	Dysentery	345	385	0.89	89
<i>Calotropis procera</i> (Ait) R. Br	Emetic, leprosy, elephantiasis, snakebites, asthma	425	478	0.88	88
<i>Catharanthus roseus</i> (Linn.) Don	Muscle pain, central nervous system, wounds, diabetes, cancer.	435	512	0.84	84
<i>Centella asiatica</i> (Linn.) Urban	Spinal injury, neuromuscular disorder, skin treatment.	445	515	0.86	
<i>Cuminum cyminum</i> L.	Respiratory, allergic rhinitis, dyspepsia, diabetes mellitus, inflammatory diseases	325	375	0.86	86
<i>Chlorophytum tuberosum</i> (Roxb.) Baker	Tonic and aphrodisiac	365	385	0.94	94
<i>Cyanthillium cinereum</i> (L.) H.Rob.	Measles	345	386	0.89	89
<i>Chromolaena odorata</i> (L.)	Wounds, Stomach ache, diarrhea, fever, vomiting	355	367	0.96	96
<i>Carica papaya</i> L.	Warts, sinuses, eczema, tumors, dyspepsia, constipation, amenorrhoea	485	495	0.97	97
<i>Citrus colocynthis</i> (L.) Schrad	cathartic, ecbolic, emmenagogue, vermifugal	365	375	0.97	97
<i>Coleus barbetus</i> (Andrews) Benth	allergies, dry eye eczema, obesity, painful menstrual periods, irritable bowel syndrome (IBS), urinary tract infections (UTI),	375	390	0.96	96
<i>Cubela officinalis</i> L. f.	Seizure, ulcers, gout, RA, dizziness, paralysis, diarrhea,	325	345	0.94	94
<i>Curcuma angustifolia</i>	Soothe coughs and bronchitis	325	355	0.91	91
<i>Curcuma longa</i> Linn	anticancer, antimicrobial, antiinflammatory	378	395	0.95	95
<i>Curcuma caesia</i> Roxb.	leprosy, cancer, wounds,	378	395	0.95	95
<i>Calligonum comosum</i> L'Hér	Digestive, body pain, headache	325	335	0.91	91
<i>Convolvulus arvensis</i> L.	Wound healing, stomach problems	315	356	0.88	88
<i>Convolvulus prostratus</i> Forssk.	Wound healing, stomach problems	315	356	0.88	88
<i>Euphorbia hirta</i> Linn.	Cancer, diarrhea, asthma, bronchitis, fever,	435	478	0.91	91
<i>Emblica officinalis</i> Gaertn.	Antioxidant, immune modulatory, antipyretic, analgesic	425	486	0.87	87
<i>Eclipta prostrata</i> (L.)L.	Jaundice, constipation	365	378	0.96	96
<i>Ficus glomerata</i> Roxb	Diabetes, liver disorders, diarrhea	345	375	0.92	92
<i>Ficus racemosa</i> L	Gynecological disorders, diabetes, cough, fever	345	375	0.92	92
<i>Ficus religiosa</i>	Sexual diseases, obesity, diabetes	345	385	0.89	89
<i>Ficus virgata</i> Reinw. ex Blume	Stomach ache, menstrual problems	345	365	0.94	94
<i>Hibiscus rosa-sinensis</i> Linn.	painful menstruation, bronchial catarrh, coughs	325	385	0.84	84
<i>Hyoscyamus niger</i> L	Rheumatism, toothache, asthma, cough, nervous diseases	365	425	0.85	85
<i>Mentha viridis</i> Linn.	Fevers, headaches, antispasmodic, carminative, diuretic	367	455	0.80	80

Quantitative Analysis of Ethnobotanical data in Chatra district, Jharkhand

<i>Michelia champaca</i> Linn.	Diabetes, quick wound healing, cardiac disorders	315	385	0.81	81
<i>Moringa oleifera</i> Lamk	Diabetes. Cancer, fever, antihypertensive,	365	425	0.85	85
<i>Musa paradisiaca</i> Linn.	Ulcers, dysentery,	345	415	0.83	83
<i>Medicago sativa</i> L.	Hair tonic	415	485	0.85	85
<i>Melilotus indicus</i> (L.) All.	Wasp bite, inflammation	412	465	0.88	88
<i>Mentha longifolia</i> (L.) L	Gastrointestinal disorders, obesity, aphrodisiac,	345	375	0.92	92
<i>Momordica balsamina</i> L.	Diabetes, skin problems, blood purifier, throat infection, liver	295	316	0.93	93
<i>Monothecha buxifolia</i> (Falc.) A. DC.	Constipation, laxative, liver problem	290	355	0.81	81
<i>Morus alba</i> L	Laxative, expectorant, jaundice, respiratory	325	335	0.97	97
<i>Morus nigra</i> L	Laxative, expectorant, jaundice, respiratory	325	345	0.94	94
<i>Nerium indicum</i> Mill.	Cardiac illnesses, asthma, corns, cancer, and epilepsy	285	325	0.87	87
<i>Nymphaea pubescens</i> Willd	Dyspepsia, diarrhoea, piles, nephritis, insomnia, jaundice,	355	415	0.85	85
<i>Nelumbo nucifera</i> Gaerth	Depression, diarrhea, heart problems, hypertension and insomnia	375	465	0.80	80
<i>Nerium oleander</i> L.	Snake, scorpion and wasp bite	375	475	0.78	78
<i>Ocimum sanctum</i> Linn.	Bronchitis, malaria, diarrhea, dysentery.	425	426	0.99	99
<i>Ocimum americanum</i> L.	Cough, malaria, depression, constipation	425	426	0.99	99
<i>Ocimum basilicum</i> L.	Obesity, fever, hypertension, malaria, anxiety	425	428	0.99	99
<i>Phyllanthus niruri</i> Linn. Schum. & Thonn	Kidney stones, gallbladder stones, liver cancer and jaundice	375	425	0.88	88
<i>Phyllanthus fraternus</i> Linn. G.L.Webster	Thirst, bronchitis, asthma, leprosy, anaemia, venereal diseases,	365	426	0.85	85
<i>Pentatropis spiralis</i> (Forssk.) Decne.	Blood purifier, antidote	315	385	0.81	81
<i>Polygonum plebeium</i> R. Br.	Diabetes, Digestive, body pain, headache	317	385	0.82	82
<i>Punica granatum</i> L.	Malaria, pimples, anemia, cooling effect, fatigue, weakness	346	415	0.83	83
<i>Rauvolfia serpentine</i> (L.) Benth. Ex Kutz	High blood pressure, schizophrenia,	425	428	0.99	99
<i>Sphaeranthus indicus</i> L.	Jaundice, diabetes, leprosy, fever, cough, gastropathy, hernia,	365	415	0.87	87
<i>Saraca indica</i> (Linn.) Beddome	Uterine tonic, menstrual irregularities	426	430	0.99	99
<i>Sesbania grandiflora</i> Pers.	Diuretic, emetic, emmenagogue, febrifuge, laxative	345	385	0.89	89
<i>Senna alata</i> (L.) Roxb.	Ringworm and other fungal infections	315	385	0.81	81
<i>Syzygium cumini</i> (Linn.) Skeels	Diabetes, ulcer, dysentery	325	412	0.78	78
<i>Sesamum indicum</i> Linn.	Laxative, emollient and demulcent, antibacterial	326	415	0.78	78
<i>Saccharum officinarum</i> Linn.	Aphrodisiac, laxative, antiseptic, Joundice	375	415	0.90	90
<i>Santalum album</i> Linn	Common colds, bronchitis, skin disorders, heart ailments, fever, infection of the urinary tract	265	375	0.70	70
<i>Sapindus mukoro ssi</i> Guerth	Gout and rheumatism	275	385	0.71	71
<i>Terminalia arjuna</i> (Roxb. ex DC) Wt and Arn	kapha, pitta, and vata.	455	485	0.93	93
<i>Terminalia bellirica</i> (Gaerth.) Roxb.	Respiratory tract infections, cough, and sore throat	475	485	0.97	97
<i>Terminalia chebula</i> Retz.	High cholesterol and digestive disorders	475	485	0.97	97
<i>Tinospora cordifolia</i> (Willd) Miers ex Hook. F.	Diabetes, high cholesterol, allergic rhinitis, RA	465	475	0.97	97
<i>Tephrosia purpurea</i> (L.) Pers	Leprosy, ulcers, asthma, and tumors	345	425	0.81	81
<i>Tribulus terrestris</i> Linn.	Increases testosterone levels	315	415	0.75	75
<i>Taverniera glabra</i> Boiss.	Body pain, obesity, poor appetite	326	389	0.83	83
<i>Taverniera sparteae</i> (Burm.f.) DC.	Cancer, blood purification, pimples	326	390	0.83	83

N_p is the number of informants that claim the use of a plant species to treat a particular disease, and **N** is the number of informants that use the plants as a medicine to treat any given disease.

Table-18: Preference ranking of medicinal plants used to treat diseases

Medicinal plants with high citation frequency	Informants										Total	Ranks
	R1	R2	R3	R4	R5	R6	R7	R8	R9	R10		
<i>Adhatoda vasica</i> (Linn) Nees	18	17	16	15	18	14	13	15	17	15	158	8 th
<i>Achyranthus aspera</i> . Linn.	15	16	14	14	16	13	15	14	12	13	142	12 th
<i>Aloe vera</i> (Linn.) Webb & Benth	20	18	19	19	20	17	20	19	19	20	191	1 st
<i>Calotropis procera</i> (Ait) R. Br	14	16	15	16	15	17	14	14	13	14	148	9 th
<i>Catharanthus roseus</i> (Linn.) Don	13	12	14	13	15	12	11	13	14	15	132	16 th
<i>Centella asiatica</i> (Linn.) Urban	12	13	14	13	14	13	12	12	14	16	133	15 th
<i>Carica papaya</i> L.	16	15	16	17	15	15	16	15	16	17	158	8 th
<i>Citrus colocynthis</i> (L.) Schrad	13	12	14	12	11	13	14	16	14	12	131	17 th
<i>Coleus barbetus</i> (Andrews) Benth	20	19	20	19	18	20	19	18	16	18	187	3 rd
<i>Curcuma angustifolia</i>	14	14	15	16	16	13	14	15	16	15	148	9 th
<i>Euphorbia hirta</i> Linn.	14	13	16	15	15	14	12	12	12	13	136	14 th
<i>Embllica officinalis</i> Gaertn.	20	20	18	20	19	17	16	20	18	20	188	2 nd
<i>Mentha viridis</i> Linn.	15	15	14	16	15	14	13	16	14	13	145	11 th
<i>Morus alba</i> L.	11	16	15	13	17	14	15	16	14	15	146	10 th
<i>Rauvolfia serpentina</i> (L.) Benth. Ex Kutz	18	19	17	19	18	16	19	17	19	18	180	5 th
<i>Terminalia arjuna</i> (Roxb. ex DC) Wt and Arn	16	15	17	16	15	17	18	18	18	19	169	6 th
<i>Terminalia bellirica</i> (Gaertn.) Roxb.	16	15	16	18	16	15	17	17	18	18	166	7 th
<i>Terminalia chebula</i> Retz.	16	15	17	16	15	17	18	18	17	20	169	6 th
<i>Tinospora cordifolia</i> (Willd) Miers ex Hook. F. & Thoms	20	20	20	19	18	19	17	16	15	19	183	4 th
<i>Moringa oleifera</i> Lamk	13	14	16	15	14	16	14	11	13	14	140	13 th

R1 to R10 represents informants

III. Results

The ethnobotanical data was analysed for 323 medicinal plants collected from twelve block areas of Chatra district, Jharkhand in terms of use value (UV), use report (UR), frequency of citation (FC) and relative frequency of citation (RFC) (Table-14). It was found that the use value of sixty medicinal plants viz. *Adhatoda vasica*, *Achyranthus aspera*, *Artemisia vulgaris*, *Aloe vera*, *Azadirachta indica*, *Arundo donax*, *Boerhavia procumbens*, *Broussonetia papyrifera*, *Calotropis procera*, *Catharanthus roseus*, *Centella asiatica*, *Cyanthillium cinereum*, *Carica papaya*, *Coleus barbetus*, *Curcuma longa*, *Curcuma angustifolia*, *Cardamine hirsute*, *Cardaria draba*, *Clematis grata*, *Clerodendrum phlomidis*, *Cocculus hirsutus*, *Cocculus pendulus*, *Cuscuta reflexa*, *Eclipta prostrata*, *Epilobium hirsutum*, *Euphorbia helioscopia*, *Euphorbia heterophylla*, *Euphorbia serpens*, *Fagonia indica*, *Fumaria indica*, *Gastrocotyle hispida*, *Glandularia pulchella*, *Gymnosporia spinosa*, *Gynandropsis gynandra*, *Heliotropium currasavicum*, *Heliotropium europaeum*, *Heliotropium indicum*, *Kickxia ramoissima*, *Mesua ferrea*, *Millettia pinnata*, *Ocimum sanctum*, *Ocimum basilicum*, *Otostegia limbata*, *Persicaria glabra*, *Plantago boissieri*, *Polygonum persicaria*, *Polygonum plebeium*, *Pulicaria glutinosa*, *Saraca indica*, *Sesbania grandiflora*, *Saccharum officinarum*, *Terminalia arjuna*, *Terminalia bellirica*, *Terminalia chebula*, *Tinospora cordifolia*, *Tribulus terrestris*, *Taverniera glabra*, *Taverniera spartea*, *Verbena officinalis* and *Xanthium strumarium* have high use value, greater than 0.300. Their use report (UR), frequency of citation (FC) and relative frequency of citation (RFC) were also high and, therefore, considered to be the most important medicinal plants of this district. Of the 323 medicinal plants 163 plant species had the lowest use value of <0.200. Other medicinal plant species had moderate use value in the range of 0.200 to 0.300 (Table-14).

The medicinal plant species citation by most of the informants of Chatra district, their medicinal uses and percentage of citation among 600 informants has been depicted in Table-15. From the result it is clear that 72 medicinal plant species were cited by most of the informants. Of these the seventeen (17) medicinal plant species had highest percentage of citation viz. *Aloe vera* (94.16%), *Azadirachta indica* (94.16%), *Calotropis procera* (90.83%), *Catharanthus roseus* (94.16%), *Centella asiatica* (95.83%), *Carica papaya* (95.83%), *Citrus colocynthis* (94.16%), *Coleus barbetus* (95.83%), *Curcuma angustifolia* (95.83%), *Euphorbia hirta* (95.83%), *Embllica officinalis* (97.50%), *Mentha viridi* (91.33%), *Musa paradisiaca* (91.66%), *Morus alba* (94.16%), *Rauvolfia serpentina* (90.83%), *Terminalia arjuna* (94.66) and *Terminalia bellirica* (95.00%) (Table-15).

Ethnobotany plays an important role in exploring the human-plant relationship and medicinal uses of native flora of that area. In the present investigation the traditional knowledge of medicinal plants was recorded among the people with low to high level of education and among the age group of 25 to 70 years. The

inhabitants of Chatra mostly in the rural areas are associated with rearing of animals. But they have intimate relation and have enough knowledge about the use of medicinal plants.

IV. Discussion

Highest use report of sixty medicinal plant species is due to their dominance and wider occurrence. These are easily available plants and are used frequently by local inhabitant (Agelet and Valles, 2001; Johns *et al.*, 1990) [19, 20]. A more or less similar use reports was also reported by Cornara *et al.*, (2009) [21]; Giday *et al.*, (2009) [22]; Saslis-Lagoudakis *et al.*, (2011) [23]; Teklehaymanot, (2009) [16]. Moreover, the ascendancy of these medicinal plants reveals the presence of a wide range of bioactive compounds. The diversity of bioactive compounds and secondary metabolites may be the reason for the multiple use of the medicinal plants for more than one health problems (Verpoorte *et al.*, 2005) [24]. Plants of family Poaceae had less aforementioned proceedings in ethno botanical investigations (Cakilcioglu and Turkoglu, 2010; Kadir *et al.*, 2012; Rokaya *et al.*, 2010) [25, 26, 27] conducted at global level. In the present study the main reason of frequent use of plants of family Poaceae is mainly due to their dependency for fuel by local people. These plants with low use reports were *Saccharum bengalense*, *S. revennae*, *S. spontaneum* etc.), thatching (*Arundo donax*, *Phragmites australis* etc.) , forage (*Cymbopogon commutatus*, *Cynodon dactylon*, *Cenchrus echinatus*, *Chloris gayana*, *Coix lacryma-jobi*, *Dactyloctenium aegyptium*, *Desmostachya bipinnata*, *Dichanthium annulatum* etc. The present findings indicate great reliance of inhabitants of Chatra on a diversity of plant species to treat ailments, and represent a good sign of the intense facts on plant based treatments (Nadembega *et al.*, 2011). Among the reported plant species (323 species) of Chatra, some medicinal plants were of high indigenous priority, and are thus commonly cited by majority of the respondents. The highly cited medicinal plant species (72 species) were *Adhatoda vasica*, *Achyranthus aspera*, *Aloe vera*, *Azadirachta indica*, *Boerhaavia procumbens*, *Broussonetia papyrifera*, *Calotropis procera*, *Catharanthus roseus*, *Centella asiatica*, *Cuminum cyminum*, *Chlorophytum tuberosum*, *Cynthillium cinereum*, *Chromolaena odorata*, *Carica papaya*, *Citrus colocynthis*, *Coleus barbetus*, *Cubela officinalis*, *Curcuma angustifolia*, *C. longa*, *C. caesis*, *Calligonum comosum*, *Convolvulus arvensis*, *C. prostrates*, *Euphorbia hirta*, *Emblica officinalis*, *Eclipta prostrate*, *Ficus glomerata*, *F. racemosa*, *F. religiosa*, *F. virgata*, *Hibiscus rosa-sinensis*, *Hyoscyamus niger*, *Mentha viridis*, *Michelia champaca*, *Moringa oleifera*, *Musa paradisiaca*, *Medicago sativa*, *Melilotus indicus*, *Mentha longifolia*, *Momordica balsamia*, *Monotheca buxifolia*, *Morus alba*, *Morus nigra*, *Nerium indicum*, *Nymphaea pubescens*, *Nelumbo nucifera*, *Nerium oleander*, *Ocimum sanctum*, *O. Americana*, *O. basilicum*, *Phyllanthus niruri*, *P. fraternus*, *Pentatropis spiralis*, *Polygonum plebejum*, *Punica granatum*, *Rauwolfia serpentine*, *Sphaeranthus indicus*, *Saraca indica*, *Sesbania grandiflora*, *Senna alata*, *Syzygium cumini*, *Sesamum indicum*, *Saccharum officinarum*, *Santalum album*, *Sapindus mukoro*, *Terminalia arjuna*, *T. bellerica*, *T. Chebula*, *Tenospora cordifolia*, *Tephrosia purpurea*, *Tribulus terrestris*, *Taverniera glabra* and *T. spartea*. These plants are reported frequently due to their abundance in the Chatra district and are considered medicinally effective. The reason of frequent citation of these plants might be due to the presence of valuable and pharmacologically bioactive compounds. Favorable climatic and topographical conditions of Chatra might have positive contribution toward the rapid adaptation of medicinal plant species (Ayyanar and Ignacimuthu, 2011; Giday *et al.*, 2003; Mesfin *et al.*, 2012; Uniyal *et al.*, 2006) [28, 29, 30, 31]. A more or less similar result was also reported by Rajnandani *et al.*, (2020) and some other documentations about medicinal plants (Megersa *et al.*, 2013; Upadhyay *et al.*, 2010; Upadhyay *et al.*, 2007) [32, 33, 34]. Herbs are richest source of bioactive compounds (Srithi *et al.*, 2009). The major use of floral parts and leaves is unique to this study in comparison to other ethnomedicinal studies (Rahman *et al.*, 2016; Shah and Rahim, 2017) [35, 36]. Local people believe that collecting a plant in flowering period is very important for the effectiveness of the formulation. This may be due to the richness of essential oils or the existence of bioactive compounds in flowers as compared to other vegetative parts. However, collection of juvenile plants and premature flower may have negative impacts on plants sustainability. Furthermore, over-exploitation of seeds and roots can lead to a drastic decline in the populations of medicinal plants (Ghimire *et al.*, 2008) [37] and consequent extinction of medicinal species from the area. Therapeutic uses of leaves and other vegetative parts are defensible (Giday *et al.*, 2003; Zheng and Xing, 2009) [29 38], which is reported as the second highest part used in the present study. Use of leaves and other vegetative parts in traditional medication is a common practice which has also been reported by several workers viz. Rajnandani *et al.*, (2019) [39], Asase *et al.*, (2010) [40], Asase and Oppong-Mensah, (2009) [41], Koudouvo *et al.*, (2011) [42], Nadembega *et al.*, (2011) [43], Nguta *et al.*, (2010) [44]. The use of leaves may be of high preference due to its photosynthetic function and presence of secondary metabolites which could be medicinally important for curing various ailments in humans (Bhattarai *et al.*, 2006; Ghorbani, 2005) [45, 46]. In addition, collection of leaves is ecologically sustainable as compared to other plant parts of plants (Giday *et al.*, 2009) [22].

Use of boiled leaves, stem, bark, flowers and other plant parts as decoction is considered more effective as compared to other methods. It has been observed that heating process speed up several biological reactions ensuring the extraction of countless vigorous compounds (Al-Adhroey *et al.*, 2010; Chen *et al.*, 2008; Han *et al.*,

2007; Zhang *et al.*, 2005) [47, 48, 49, 50]. Infusion is the second rated mode of utilization of plants. Infusion is prepared from fresh and healthy plant parts. Infusion is one of the highly effective recipes that play a crucial role in the medication (Dike *et al.*, 2012; Idowu *et al.*, 2010) [51, 52]. A handful amount infusion of a patient is considered as an exact dose for medication from generation to generation. Doses of decoction and infusion of plant parts are taken twice or thrice a day depending upon the severity of the diseases. Water is the main and common solvent used in the preparation of herbal remedies (Andrade-Cetto, 2009; Lee *et al.*, 2008; Poonam and Singh, 2009; Prashanth *et al.*, 2001; Ssegawa and Kasenene, 2007) [53, 54, 55, 56, 57] since most of them were prepared as decoction or infusion. This practice is highly convenient because water is easily accessible, and not to disturb the chemical composition of the active constituents (Nunkoo and Mahomoodally, 2012) [58]. Some other ingredients viz. sugar, salt, honey, olive oil, mustard oil and lemon are also added to improve the taste and to reduce nausea, vomiting and constipation.

The diseases of the study area have been grouped into 49 categories on the basis of the site of incidence of the disease, condition of the disease as well as treatment resemblance of the disease to the local people. Result showed that the informant consensus factor (ICF) values ranged from 0.10 to 0.93 for the disease categories (Table-16). Of the categorized diseases, Tonsillitis, swelling wound, hemorrhoid, rabies, blood pressure, jaundice, menorrhoea, leucorrhoea, tuberculosis, dropsy, hydrophobia, measles and painful menstrual problems had highest ICF value, 0.84, 0.83, 0.84, 0.82, 0.82, 0.80, 0.92, 0.93, 0.87, 0.85, 0.84, 0.88 and 0.81 respectively. This suggested the common occurrence of these problems in the study area and agreement of the people on their remedy. It has been shown that medicinal plants that are effective in treating certain diseases and well known by community members have higher ICF values. The lowest ICF values indicated the rare occurrence of these diseases.

The main reason of high ICF values could be the common happening of these illnesses in the community due to poor sanitation practice, low economic status and lack of adequate modern health care of in the Valley (Bieski *et al.*, 2015) [59]. High ICF values undoubtedly disclose a noteworthy number of reports on the use of these medicinal plants for a group of health problems (Baydoun *et al.*, 2015) [60]. The slightest agreement among the informants was detected for plants used for group of sexual disorders, respiratory disorders, genitourinary problems, skin inflammation, musculoskeletal disorders, glandular disease, antidote, cardiovascular diseases, neurological disorders, ear, nose and throat problems (ENT), eye ailments, fever and headache, malaria, oral and dental problems, epilepsy, common cold and cough, diabetes, anxiety, kidney stone, schizophrenia and other diseases which include pain, small pox, mumps, insect repellent, antitumor and anti-allergic, all these groups containing the lowest ICF value. The lowest values of ICF for ear, nose and throat problems (ENT) and eye diseases and is in accordance with other studies (Bibi *et al.*, 2015; Jamila and Mostafa, 2014) [61, 62]. These lowest ICF values could be credited to the trend of folks in native or urban societies of Chatra to use conventional medicines for curing predictable diseases, even in current times (Upadhyay *et al.*, 2011) [63].

Fidelity Level (FL) is an index, which shows the specificity of a given medicinal plant to treat a particular disease effectively. Fidelity level was then calculated for some commonly used medicinal plants to treat ailments. Result showed that *Adhatoda vasica*, *Achyranthus aspera*, *Boerhavia procumbens*, *Chlorophytum tuberosum*, *Chromolaena odorata*, *Carica papaya*, *Citrullus colocynthis*, *Coleus barbetus*, *Cubela officinalis*, *Curcuma angustifolia*, *Curcuma longa*, *Curcuma caesis*, *Calligonum comosum*, *Euphorbia hirta*, *Eclipta prostrata*, *Ficus glomerata*, *Ficus racemosa*, *Ficus virgata*, *Mentha longifolia*, *Momordica balsamina*, *Morus alba*, *Morus nigra*, *Ocimum sanctum*, *Ocimum americanum*, *Ocimum basilicum*, *Rauwolfia serpentina*, *Saraca indica*, *Saccharum officinarum*, *Terminalia arjuna*, *Terminalia bellirica*, *Terminalia chebula* and *Tinospora cordifolia* had the highest FL value of greater than 0.90 (Table-17). The species of medicinal plants that are widely used by the local people to treat one or very few ailments/diseases have higher FL values than those that are less popular (Semayat Oyda, 2017) [76]. High FL could also be an indication of efficiency of the reported plant to cure a specific ailment.

When different medicinal plant species are prescribed for the same health problem, people show the preference of one over the other. Preference ranking of twenty medicinal plant species that were reported for treating Bloating/diseases was conducted after selecting ten key informants (R1 to R10). The informants were asked to compare the given medicinal plant species on the basis of their efficacy and to give the highest number (20) for the medicinal plant which they thought most effective in treating Bloating/ailments and the lowest number (1) for the least effective plant in treating Bloating/ailments. *Aloe vera* scored 191 and ranked first indicating. This indicated that it is the most effective in treating Bloating/ailments followed by *Emblca officinalis* (2nd rank), *Coleus barbetus* (3rd rank), *Tinospora cordifolia* (4th rank), *Rauwolfia serpentina* (5th rank), *Terminalia arjuna* and *T. chebula* (6th rank), *T. bellirica* (7th rank), *Adhatoda vasica* and *Carica papaya* (8th rank), *Calotropis procera* and *Curcuma angustifolia* (9th rank), *Morus alba* (10th rank), *Mentha viridis* (11th rank), *Achyranthus aspera* (12th rank), *Moringa oleifera* (13th rank), *Euphorbia hirta* (14th rank), *Centella asiatica* (15th rank), *Catharanthus roseus* (16th rank) and *Citrullus colocynthis* (17th rank) (Table-18). The present

findings gain support from the work of Mekonen Wolditsadik Beyi (2018) who also ranked medicinal plant species in order of their preference.

Toxicity of medicinal plants: In the present study the documented ethnobotanical data generally elaborate the medicinal usage of the indigenous plant species. However, it was found that the informants were very much careful in using some of the plant species such as *Achyranthes aspera*, *Calotropis procera*, *Cannabis sativa*, *Croton bonplandianum*, *Cuscuta reflexa*, *Datura metel*, *Dodonaea viscosa*, *Euphorbia helioscopia*, *E. heterophylla*, *E. hirta*, *E. peplus*, *E. prostrata*, *E. serpens*, *Heliotropium currasavicum*, *H. europaeum*, *Ipomoea carnea*, *Lantana camara*, *Lathyrus aphaca*, *Nerium oleander*, *Ricinus communis*, *Rhazya stricta*, *Solanum elaeagnifolium*, *S. xanthocarpum*, *S. nigrum*, *S. surattense*, *S. incanum*, *Parthenium* sp. *Hyoscyamus niger*, *Schweinfurthia papilionacea*, *Phyllanthus niruri* etc. The local inhabitants are fully aware about the fact that these plants can cause symptom of toxicity including abortion, restlessness, depression, skin inflammation, vomiting, abdominal pain, nausea, impotency, sterility, dizziness and hallucination. People of Chatra also have a general knowledge about toxic signs and their interpretation of toxicity is based on the observation from generation to generation. Foremost taxonomic classification level for assessing the efficacy of plant to native societies is family (Thomas *et al.*, 2009) [65]. Same is factual for the toxicity of plants (Huai *et al.*, 2010) [66]. Fabaceae, Asteraceae, Euphorbiaceae and Apocynaceae have been described as the chief families of flowering plants comprising toxic plants in various studies (Levetin and McMahan, 2008; Huai *et al.*, 2010; Ozturk *et al.*, 2008) [67, 66, 68]. The reason of toxicity of these families is the presence of different toxic substances such as alkaloids, dicoumarin, glycosides, photosensitizing compounds, saponin, selenium (Fabaceae), acrid substances, alcohol, alkaloids, glycosides, nitrogenous compounds, photosensitizing compounds, saponins, selenium, volatile oils (Asteraceae), acrid substances, croton oil, photosensitizing compounds, biterpinoids, triterpenoids, steroids, alkaloids, cyanogenic glycosides and glucosinolates, (Euphorbiaceae) and resin, glycosides (Apocynaceae) (Barla *et al.*, 2006; Madureira *et al.*, 2004; RIZK, 1987; Yamamura *et al.*, 1989; Zhang and Guo, 2006) [69, 70, 71, 72, 73]. The investigation related to herbal toxicity in human requires further investigation at scientific level.

Rural people (tribal and non-tribal community) need plants for their livelihood in different aspects. In the present study several factors both human and natural were found to contribute to the threats that affect survival of medicinal plants species in the study area. From the interview with informants of Chatra various factors were noticed as the main threats to medicinal plants. Agricultural encroachment, firewood collection, charcoal production, plant use for house and fence construction, overgrazing and urbanization were reported to the factors for the dwindling of natural vegetation and medicinal plants. As a result, the accessibility of medicinal plants has become less when compared to the previous times.

Traditional healers also keep their knowledge on medicinal plants for the sake of securing means of income and a cultural belief that telling information may make plants ineffective to cure the ailments/diseases. More or less similar observations were also reported by Fassil Kibebew (1998) [74] and Mirutse Giday, Gobana Amini (2003), Srithi and Balsev (2009), Teklehaymont, (2009), Teklehaymont *et al.*, (2007) [75, 77, 78, 79].

V. Conclusions:

The present study area revealed that people in the Chatra district, Jharkhand have substantial amount of indigenous knowledge on traditional medicine, which needs to be further strengthened by all age groups and gender. As this study revealed the knowledge of traditional medicine mainly reside in the hand of illiterate and aged groups. Indigenous people of the study area have their own ways of managing health problems of human and they are endowed with specific culture, tradition and ethical norms. Biochemical profiles of plant species used for diseases categories of high ICF should be investigated at scientific level for screening of the active principles.

Acknowledgement: Authors: Authors are thankful to Dr. Baidyanath Kumar, Visiting Professor, Patna Science College (Patna University) for providing necessary support for the preparation of this manuscript.

References

- [1]. Samar R, Shrivastava PN, Jain M. Original Research Article Ethnobotanical Study of Traditional Medicinal Plants Used By Tribe of Guna District, Madhya Pradesh, India. 2015; 4: 466-471.
- [2]. Mekonnen Bishaw. Attitudes modern and traditional medicinal practitioners towards Cooperation. Ethiopian Medical Journal. 1990; 28: 63-72.
- [3]. Tesema Tanto, Miruts Giday, Negesu Aklilu, Teshome Hunduma. Medicinal plant Biodiversity, National biodiversity Strategy and Action plan Project (UN published). Institute of Biodiversity conservation and research Addis Ababa. 2003.
- [4]. Cotton CM. Ethnobotany: Principles and Applications. John Wiley and Sons, Chichester, England. 1996; 347.
- [5]. Jansen PC. Spices Condiments and Medicinal Plants in Ethiopia: Their Taxonomic and Agricultural Significance. Center for Agricultural Publishing and Documentation. Wageningen, the Netherlands. 1981; 327.

- [6]. Wright CW. Plant derived anti malarial agents; new leads and challenges. *Phytochemistry*. 2005; 4: 55-61.
- [7]. Phillips, O., Gentry, A. H., Reynel, C., Wilkin, P., Galvez-Durand, B. (1994): Quantitative ethnobotany and Amazonian conservation. *Conservation biology* 8(1): 225-248.
- [8]. Vitalini, S., Iriti, M., Puricelli, C., Ciuchi, D., Segale, A., Fico, G. (2013): Traditional knowledge on medicinal and food plants used in Val San Giacomo (Sondrio, Italy) -An alpine ethnobotanical study. – *Journal of Ethnopharmacology* 145(2): 517-529.
- [9]. Heinrich, M., Ankli, A., Frei, B., Weimann, C., Sticher, O. (1998): Medicinal plants in Mexico: Healers' consensus and cultural importance. – *Social Science & Medicine* 47(11): 1859-1871.
- [10]. Canales, M., Hernández, T., Caballero, J., De Vivar, A. R., Avila, G., Duran, A., Lira, R. (2005): Informant consensus factor and antibacterial activity of the medicinal plants used by the people of San Rafael Coxcatlán, Puebla, México. – *Journal of Ethnopharmacology* 97(3): 429-439.
- [11]. Abu-Irmaileh, B. E., Afifi, F. U. (2003): Herbal medicine in Jordan with special emphasis on commonly used herbs. – *Journal of Ethnopharmacology* 89(2-3): 193-197.
- [12]. Akerele, O. (1988): Medicinal plants and primary health care: an agenda for action. – *Fitoterapia* 59(5): 355-363.
- [13]. Kloutsos, G., Balatsouras, D. G., Kaberos, A. C., Kandiloros, D., Ferekidis, E., Economou, C. (2001): Upper airway edema resulting from use of *Echallium elaterium*. – *The Laryngoscope* 111(9): 1652-1655.
- [14]. Gazzaneo, L. R. S., De Lucena, R. F. P., de Albuquerque, U. P. (2005): Knowledge and use of medicinal plants by local specialists in an region of Atlantic Forest in the state of Pernambuco (Northeastern Brazil), *Journal of Ethnobiology and Ethnomedicine* 1(1): 9.
- [15]. Sharma, R., Manhas, R., Magotra, R. (2012): Ethnoveterinary remedies of diseases among milk yielding animals in Kathua, Jammu and Kashmir, India, *Journal of Ethnopharmacology* 141(1): 265-272.
- [16]. Teklehaymanot, T. (2009): Ethnobotanical study of knowledge and medicinal plants use by the people in Dek Island in Ethiopia. – *Journal of Ethnopharmacology* 124(1): 69-78.
- [17]. Teklehmanoy Tilahun, Giday Mirutse. Ethno botanical study of medicinal plants used by people in Zegie peninsula, northwestern Ethiopia. *Journal of Ethno biological and Ethno medicine*. 2007; 3: 12.
- [18]. Martin GJ. *Ethnobotany: A method Manual*. Chapman and Hall, London. 1995; 265- 270.
- Cotton CM. *Ethnobotany: Principles and Applications*. John Wiley and Sons, Chichester, England. 1996; 347.
- [19]. Agelet, A., Valles, J. (2001): Studies on pharmaceutical ethnobotany in the region of Pallars (Pyrenees, Catalonia, Iberian Peninsula) Part I. General results and new or very rare medicinal plants, *Journal of Ethnopharmacology* 77(1): 57-70.
- [20]. Johns, T., Kokwaro, J. O., Kimanani, E. K. (1990): Herbal remedies of the Luo of Siaya District, Kenya: establishing quantitative criteria for consensus, *Economic Botany* 44(3): 369-381.
- [21]. Cornara, L., La Rocca, A., Marsili, S., Mariotti, M. (2009): Traditional uses of plants in the Eastern Riviera (Liguria, Italy), *Journal of Ethnopharmacology* 125(1): 16-30.
- [22]. Giday, M., Asfaw, Z., Woldu, Z. (2009): Medicinal plants of the Meinit ethnic group of Ethiopia: an ethnobotanical study, *Journal of Ethnopharmacology* 124(3): 513-521.
- [23]. Saslis-Lagoudakis, C. H., Williamson, E. M., Savolainen, V., Hawkins, J. A. (2011): Cross-cultural comparison of three medicinal floras and implications for bioprospecting strategies, *Journal of Ethnopharmacology* 135(2): 476-487.
- [24]. Verpoorte, R., Choi, Y.H., Kim, H.K. (2005): Ethnopharmacology and systems biology: a perfect holistic match, *Journal of Ethnopharmacology* 100(1-2): 53-56.
- [25]. Cakilcioglu, U., Turkoglu, I. (2010): An ethnobotanical survey of medicinal plants in Sivrice (Elazığ-Turkey), *Journal of Ethnopharmacology* 132(1): 165-175.
- [26]. Kadir, M. F., Sayeed, M. S. B., Shams, T., Mia, M. (2012): Ethnobotanical survey of medicinal plants used by Bangladeshi traditional health practitioners in the management of diabetes mellitus, *Journal of Ethnopharmacology* 144(3): 605-611.
- [27]. Rokaya, M. B., Münzbergová, Z., Timsina, B. (2010): Ethnobotanical study of medicinal plants from the Humla district of western Nepal, *Journal of Ethnopharmacology* 130(3): 485-504.
- [28]. Ayyanar, M., Ignacimuthu, S. (2011): Ethnobotanical survey of medicinal plants commonly used by Kani tribals in Tirunelveli hills of Western Ghats, India, *Journal of Ethnopharmacology* 134(3): 851-864.
- [29]. Giday, M., Asfaw, Z., Elmqvist, T., Woldu, Z. (2003): An ethnobotanical study of medicinal plants used by the Zay people in Ethiopia, *Journal of Ethnopharmacology* 85(1): 43-52.
- [30]. Mesfin, A., Giday, M., Animut, A., Teklehaymanot, T. (2012): Ethnobotanical study of antimalarial plants in Shinile District, Somali Region, Ethiopia, and in vivo evaluation of selected ones against *Plasmodium berghei*, *Journal of Ethnopharmacology* 139(1): 221-227.
- [31]. Uniyal, S. K., Singh, K., Jamwal, P., Lal, B. (2006): Traditional use of medicinal plants among the tribal communities of Chhota Bhangal, Western Himalaya, *Journal of ethnobiology and ethnomedicine* 2(1): 14.
- [32]. Megersa, M., Asfaw, Z., Kelbessa, E., Beyene, A., Woldeab, B. (2013): An ethnobotanical study of medicinal plants in Wayu Tuka district, east Welega zone of oromia regional state, West Ethiopia, *Journal of ethnobiology and ethnomedicine* 9(1): 68.
- [33]. Upadhyay, B., Dhaker, A. K., Kumar, A. (2010): Ethnomedicinal and ethnopharmaco-statistical studies of Eastern Rajasthan, India, *Journal of Ethnopharmacology* 129(1): 64-86.
- [34]. Upadhyay, B., Roy, S., Kumar, A. (2007): Traditional uses of medicinal plants among the rural communities of Churu district in the Thar Desert, India, *Journal of ethnopharmacology* 113(3): 387-399.
- [35]. Rahman, I. U., Ijaz, F., Afzal, A., Iqbal, Z., Ali, N., Khan, S. M. (2016): Contributions to the phytotherapies of digestive disorders: Traditional knowledge and cultural drivers of Manoor Valley, Northern Pakistan, *Journal of ethnopharmacology* 192: 30-52.
- [36]. Shah, A., Rahim, S. (2017): Ethnomedicinal uses of plants for the treatment of malaria in Soon Valley, Khushab, Pakistan, *Journal of ethnopharmacology* 200: 84-106.
- [37]. Ghimire, S. K., Gimenez, O., Pradel, R., McKey, D., Aumeeruddy-Thomas, Y. (2008): Demographic variation and population viability in a threatened Himalayan medicinal and aromatic herb *Nardostachys grandiflora*: matrix modelling of harvesting effects in two contrasting habitats, *Journal of Applied Ecology* 45(1): 41-51.
- [38]. Zheng, X. L., Xing, F. W. (2009): Ethnobotanical study on medicinal plants around Mt. Yinggeling, Hainan Island, China, *Journal of Ethnopharmacology* 124(2): 197-210.
- [39]. Rajnandani Kumari, Anil Kumar and Baidyanath Kumar (2019): Ethnobotanical Investigation of Medicinal Plants used by Rural Communities of District Chatra, Jharkhand, India, *IOSR Journal of Biotechnology and Biochemistry (IOSR-JBB) ISSN: 2455-264X, Volume 5, Issue 6, PP 34-49*
- [40]. Asase, A., Akwetey, G. A., Achel, D. G. (2010): Ethnopharmacological use of herbal remedies for the treatment of malaria in the Dangme West District of Ghana, *Journal of ethnopharmacology* 129(3): 367-376.

- [41]. Asase, A., Opong-Mensah, G. (2009): Traditional antimalarial phytotherapy remedies in herbal markets in southern Ghana, *Journal of ethnopharmacology* 126(3): 492-499.
- [42]. Koudouvo, K., Karou, D., Kokou, K., Essien, K., Aklikokou, K., Gliho, I., Simporé, J., Sanogo, R., De Souza, C., Gbeassor, M., (2011): An ethnobotanical study of antimalarial plants in Togo Maritime Region, *Journal of ethnopharmacology* 134(1): 183-190.
- [43]. Nadembega, P., Boussim, J. I., Nikiema, J. B., Poli, F., Antognoni, F. (2011): Medicinal plants in Baskoure, Kourittenga province, Burkina Faso: an ethnobotanical study, *Journal of ethnopharmacology* 133(2): 378-395.
- [44]. Nguta, J., Mbaria, J., Gakuya, D., Gathumbi, P., Kiama, S. (2010): Traditional antimalarial phytotherapy remedies used by the South Coast community, Kenya, *Journal of ethnopharmacology* 131(2): 256-267.
- [45]. Bhattarai, S., Chaudhary, R. P., Taylor, R. S. (2006): Ethnomedicinal plants used by the people of Manang district, central Nepal, *Journal of Ethnobiology and Ethnomedicine* 2(1): 41.
- [46]. Ghorbani, A. (2005): Studies on pharmaceutical ethnobotany in the region of Turkmen Sahra, north of Iran: (Part 1): General results, *Journal of ethnopharmacology* 102(1): 58-68.
- [47]. Al-Adhroey, A. H., Nor, Z. M., Al-Mekhlafi, H. M., Mahmud, R. (2010): Ethnobotanical study on some Malaysian anti-malarial plants: A community based survey, *Journal of ethnopharmacology* 132(1): 362-364.
- [48]. Andrade-Cetto, A. (2009): Ethnobotanical study of the medicinal plants from Tlanchinol, Hidalgo, México, *Journal of ethnopharmacology* 122(1): 163-171.
- [49]. Chen, G., Yang, M., Song, Y., Lu, Z., Zhang, J., Huang, H., Guan, S., Wu, L., Guo, D. A. (2008): Comparative analysis on microbial and rat metabolism of ginsenoside Rb1 by high performance liquid chromatography coupled with tandem mass spectrometry, *Biomedical Chromatography* 22(7): 779-785.
- [50]. Han, J., Ye, M., Guo, H., Yang, M., Wang, B-R., Guo, D-A. (2007): Analysis of multiple constituents in a Chinese herbal preparation Shuang-Huang-Lian oral liquid by HPLC-DAD-ESI-MSn, *Journal of Pharmaceutical and Biomedical Analysis* 44(2): 430-438.
- [51]. Zhang, J-L., Cui, M., He, Y., Yu, H-L., Guo, D-A. (2005): Chemical fingerprint and metabolic fingerprint analysis of Danshen injection by HPLC-UV and HPLC-MS methods, *Journal of pharmaceutical and biomedical analysis* 36(5): 1029-1035.
- [52]. Dike, I. P., Obembe, O. O., Adebisi, F. E. (2012): Ethnobotanical survey for potential anti-malarial plants in south-western Nigeria, *Journal of ethnopharmacology* 144(3): 618-626.
- [53]. Idowu, O., Soniran, O., Ajana, O., Aworinde, D. (2010): Ethnobotanical survey of antimalarial plants used in Ogun State, Southwest Nigeria, *African Journal of Pharmacy and Pharmacology* 4(2): 055-060.
- [54]. Andrade-Cetto, A. (2009): Ethnobotanical study of the medicinal plants from Tlanchinol, Hidalgo, México, *Journal of ethnopharmacology* 122(1): 163-171.
- [55]. Lee, S., Xiao, C., Pei, S. (2008): Ethnobotanical survey of medicinal plants at periodic markets of Honghe Prefecture in Yunnan Province, SW China, *Journal of Ethnopharmacology* 117(2): 362-377.
- [56]. Poonam, K., Singh, G. S. (2009): Ethnobotanical study of medicinal plants used by the Taungya community in Terai Arc Landscape, India, *Journal of ethnopharmacology* 123(1): 167-176.
- [57]. Prashanth, D., Asha, M., Amit, A., Padmaja, R. (2001): Anthelmintic activity of *Butea monosperma*, *Fitoterapia* 72(4): 421-422.
- [58]. Ssegawa, P., Kasenene, J. M. (2007): Medicinal plant diversity and uses in the Sango bay area, Southern Uganda, *Journal of Ethnopharmacology* 113(3): 521-540.
- [59]. Nunkoo, D. H., Mahomoodally, M. F. (2012): Ethnopharmacological survey of native remedies commonly used against infectious diseases in the tropical island of Mauritius, *Journal of ethnopharmacology* 143(2): 548-564.
- [60]. Bieski, I. G. C., Leonti, M., Arnason, J. T., Ferrier, J., Rapinski, M., Violante, I. M. P., Balogun, S. O., Pereira, J. F. C. A., Figueiredo, R. D. C. F., Lopes, C. R. A. S. (2015): Ethnobotanical study of medicinal plants by population of valley of Jurueña region, legal Amazon, Mato Grosso, Brazil, *Journal of ethnopharmacology* 173: 383-423.
- [61]. Baydoun, S., Chalak, L., Dalleh, H., Arnold, N. (2015): Ethnopharmacological survey of medicinal plants used in traditional medicine by the communities of Mount Hermon, Lebanon, *Journal of ethnopharmacology* 173: 139-156.
- [62]. Bibi, T., Ahmad, M., Tareen, N.M., Jabeen, R., Sultana, S., Zafar, M., Zain-ul-Abidin, S. (2015): The endemic medicinal plants of Northern Balochistan, Pakistan and their uses in traditional medicine, *Journal of ethnopharmacology* 173: 1-10.
- [63]. Jamila, F., Mostafa, E. (2014): Ethnobotanical survey of medicinal plants used by people in Oriental Morocco to manage various ailments, *Journal of ethnopharmacology* 154(1): 76-87.
- [64]. Upadhyay, B., Singh, K., Kumar, A. (2011): Ethno-veterinary uses and informants consensus factor of medicinal plants of Sariska region, Rajasthan, India, *Journal of Ethnopharmacology* 133(1): 14-25.
- [65]. Mekonen Wolditsadik Beyi (2018): Ethnobotanical Investigation of Traditional Medicinal Plants in Dugda District, Oromia Regio, SM *Journal of Medicinal Plant Studies*, 2(1): 1007.
- [66]. Thomas, E., Vandebroek, I., Sanca, S., Van Damme, P. (2009): Cultural significance of medicinal plant families and species among Quechua farmers in Apillapampa, Bolivia, *Journal of Ethnopharmacology* 122(1), 60-67.
- [67]. Huai, H., Dong, Q., Liu, A. (2010): Ethnomedicinal analysis of toxic plants from five ethnic groups in China, *Ethnobotany Research and Applications* 8: 169-179.
- [68]. Levetin, E., McMahon, K., (2008): *Plants and Society*, 5th edition McGraw-Hill, New York.
- [69]. Ozturk, M., Uysal, I., Guecel, S., Mert, T., Akcicek, E., Celik, S. (2008): Ethnoecology of poisonous plants of Turkey and Northern Cyprus, *Pakistan Journal of Botany* 40(4): 1359-1386.
- [70]. Barla, A., Bırman, H., Kültür, Ş., Öksüz, S. (2006): Secondary metabolites from *Euphorbia helioscopia* and their vasodepressor activity, *Turkish Journal of Chemistry* 30(3): 325-332.
- [71]. Madureira, A. M., Ferreira, M-J. U., Gyemant, N., Ugoçsai, K., Ascenso, J. R., Abreu, P. M., Hohmann, J., Molnár, J. (2004): Rearranged jatrophone-type diterpenes from euphorbia species. Evaluation of their effects on the reversal of multidrug resistance, *Planta medica* 70(1): 45-49.
- [72]. Rizk, A. F. M. (1987): The chemical constituents and economic plants of the Euphorbiaceae, *Botanical Journal of the Linnean Society* 94(1- 2): 293-326.
- [73]. Yamamura, S., Shizuri, Y., Kosemura, S., Ohtsuka, J., Tayama, T., Ohba, S., Ito, M., Saito, Y., Terada, Y. (1989): Diterpenes from *Euphorbia helioscopia*, *Phytochemistry* 28(12): 3421-3436.
- [74]. Zhang, W., Guo, Y-W. (2006): Chemical studies on the constituents of the chinese medicinal herb *Euphorbia helioscopia* L. *Chemical and pharmaceutical bulletin* 54(7): 1037-1039.
- [75]. Fassil Kibebew. The status and availability of oral Witten knowledge on traditional health care in Ethiopia. In conservation and sustainable use of medical plants in Ethiopia proceeding of the national work shop on biodiversity and sustainable use of medicinal plants in Ethiopia. 1998; 168-175.

- [76]. Mirutse Giday, Gobana Amini. An Ethnobotanical Survey on Plants of Veterinary Importance in Two Wered as of Southern Tigray, Northern Ethiopia. SINET: Ethiopian Journal of Science. 2003; 26: 123-136.
- [77]. Semayat Oyda. Review on traditional ethno-veterinary medicine and medicinal plants used by indigenous people in ethiopia: practice and application system. International journal of research. 2017; 5: 109-119.
- [78]. Sriithi, K., Balslev, H., Wangpakapattanawong, P., Srisanga, P., Trisonthi, C. (2009): Medicinal plant knowledge and its erosion among the Mien (Yao) in northern Thailand, Journal of Ethnopharmacology 123(2): 335-342.
- [79]. Teklehaymanot, T. (2009): Ethnobotanical study of knowledge and medicinal plants use by the people in Dek Island in Ethiopia, Journal of Ethnopharmacology 124(1): 69-78.
- [80]. Teklehymanoy Tilahun, Giday Mirutse. Ethno botanical study of medicinal plants used by people in Zegie peninsula, northwestern Ethiopia. Journal of Ethno biological and Ethno medicine. 2007; 3: 12.

Dr. Baidyanath Kumar, et. al. "Quantitative Analysis of Ethnobotanical data in Chatra district, Jharkhand." *IOSR Journal of Biotechnology and Biochemistry (IOSR-JBB)*, 6(5), (2020): pp. 01-18.